

# Editorial Board

## EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

**Gabriel Borowski** – Environmental Engineering Faculty, Lublin University of Technology, Poland  
e-mail: [g.borowski@pollub.pl](mailto:g.borowski@pollub.pl)

## INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC BOARD:

**Ghaida Abdulkareem Abu-Rumman** – Isra University, Amman, Jordan  
**Antonio Joao Carvalho de Albuquerque** – University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal  
**Sameh Alsaqoor** – Tafila Technical University, Jordan  
**Süer Anaç** – Ege University, Izmir, Turkey  
**Nelson Barros** – University of Fernando Pessoa, Porto, Portugal  
**Zhihong Cao** – Institute of Soil Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing, China  
**Mariola Chomczyńska** – Lublin University of Technology, Poland  
**Aneta Czechowska-Kosacka** – Lublin University of Technology, Poland  
**Maria de Fátima Nunes de Carvalho** – Polytechnic Institute of Beja, Portugal  
**Magdalena Gajewska** – Gdańsk University of Technology, Poland  
**Joan Garcia** – Polytechnic University of Catalonia, Barcelona, Spain  
**Hassimi Abu Hasan** – National University of Malaysia  
**Faruque Hossain** – New York University, New York, USA  
**Katarzyna Ignatowicz** – Białystok University of Technology, Poland  
**Krzysztof Józwiakowski** – University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Poland  
**Aleksander Kiryluk** – Białystok University of Technology, Poland  
**Michał Kopeć** – University of Agriculture in Kraków, Poland  
**Joanna Kostecka** – University of Rzeszów, Poland  
**Peter Kováčik** – Slovak University of Agriculture (SUA) in Nitra, Slovak Republic  
**Justyna Kujawska** – Lublin University of Technology, Poland  
**Grzegorz Kusza** – Opole University, Poland  
**Maria Cristina Lavagnolo** – University of Padova, Italy  
**Myroslav S. Malovanyy** – Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine  
**Fabio Masi** – IRIDRA S.r.l., Florence, Italy  
**Yurij A. Mazhaysky** – Ryazan State Agricultural Academy, Ryazan, Russia  
**Álvaro Monteiro** – University of Fernando Pessoa, Porto, Portugal  
**Adam M. Paruch** – Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental Research – Bioforsk, Norway  
**Ryszard Pokładek** – Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland  
**Katerina Pozachenyuk** – Taurida National V.I. Vernadsky University, Ukraine  
**Harsha Ratnaweera** – Norwegian Institute for Water Research – NIVA, Oslo, Norway

**Czesława Rosik-Dulewska** – Opole University, Poland  
**Hynek Roubík** – Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Czech Republic  
**Pavel Ryant** – Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic  
**Heralt Schöne** – Neubrandenburg University of Applied Sciences, Germany  
**László Simon** – University College of Nyíregyháza, Hungary  
**Elżbieta Skorbiłowicz** – Białystok University of Technology, Poland  
**Vladimir Soldatov** – National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus  
**Jung-Jeng Su** – National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan  
**Joanna Szulzyk-Cieplak** – Lublin University of Technology, Poland  
**Agata Szymańska-Pulikowska** – Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland  
**Alexander Tsyganov** – Belarusian State Agricultural Academy, Gorki, Belarus  
**Tomasz Tymiński** – Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland  
**Magdalena Daria Vaverková** – Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic  
**Sylvia Waara** – Halmstad University, Sweden  
**Raoul Weiler** – University of Leuven, Belgium  
**Józefa Wiater** – Białystok University of Technology, Poland  
**Xiaoping Zhu** – Hunter College of The City University of New York, USA

## Synthesis and Characterization of Xerogel Derived from Palm Kernel Shell Biochar and Comparison with Commercial Activated Carbon

Hadi Hamdi Mahdi, Ali Mohammed Saleh, Azil Bahari Alias, Ali H Jawad, Sami D. Salman, Deana Qarizada, Mostafa Mahmood Mostafa, Noah Mohammed Saleh, Mahmud A. Abdulqader

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):1-11

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/183719>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Impact of Biochar and Compost as Soil Amendments, Combined with Poultry Manure, on the Growth, Yield, and Chemical Composition of Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*)

Laith Mohamad Alomari, Taha Ahmad Al-Issa, Mu'ad Abdul-Latif Kiyam, Abdel Rahman Al Tawaha

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):12-28

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186723>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Assessment of the Sub-Base Material for the Optimum Moisture Content and Maximum Dry Density Using Amalgamated Pond Ash with Reclaimed Asphalt on Road Pavement

Radhakrishnan Vijayakumar, Kumar Govidhasamy

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):29-41

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186818>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Content of Heavy Metals and Trace Elements in Different Soils Used under the Conditions of Homestead Plots and Field Agricultural Lands of Ukraine

Serhii Razanov, Oleksii Alieksieiev, Olha Alieksieieva, Oksana Vradii, Kateryna Mazur, Vasyl Puyy, Antonina Pidubna, Mykola Povochnikov, Dmytro Postoienko, Oleh Zelisko

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):42-50

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186820>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Effects of Linear Alkylbenzene Sulfonate and Polyoxyethylene Sorbitan Monolaurate Surfactants on Pristine and Aged Microplastic Removal by Electrocoagulation

Francisco Javier Cuba Teran, Luisa Rodrigues Oliveira, Renata Medici Frayne Cuba

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):51-62

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186868>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Water Quality Assessment in the Ibër River Basin (Kosovo) Using Macroinvertebrate and Benthic Diatom Indices

Andonita Buçinca, Astrit Bilalli, Halil Ibrahim, Valentina Slavevska-Stamenković, Danijela Mitić-Kopanja, Jelena Hinić, Linda Grapci-Kotori

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):63-72

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186890>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Study of the Impact of Changes in the Acid-Base Buffering Capacity of Surface Sod-Podzolic Soils

Yaroslava Hryhoriv, Andrii Butenko, Halyna Solovei, Vasyl Filon, Mariia Skydan, Natalia Kravchenko, Ihor Masyk, Elina Zakharchenko, Olena Tykhonova, Anton Polyvanyi

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):73-79

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186928>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Pollutant Removal in Wastewater from Anaerobic Digesters by Water Lettuce (*Pistia stratiotes* L.) at Both Still-Water and Running-Water Stages

Nguyen Cong Thuan, Huynh Cong Khanh, Nguyen Van Cong

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):80-89

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186996>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Fungi Enhance the Growth, Yield, and Quality of Medicinal Mondo Grass (*Ophiopogon japonicus* (L.F.) Ker – Gawl.) under Rainfed Conditions

Nguyen Thi Thanh Hai, Bui The Khuynh, Ninh Thi Phip, Nguyen Dinh Vinh, Dinh Thai Hoang

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):90-98

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186943>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Determination of the Microorganisms' Resistance to Antibiotics in the Bacterioplankton Community in the Akmola Region Lakes

Lyailya Akbayeva, Zhumabike Bakeshova, Nurgul Mamytova, Bakhytzhana Yelikbayev, Akhan Abzhalelov, Rumiya Tazitdinova, Raikhan Beisenova

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):99-108

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187024>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Multi-Component Composting of Agricultural By-Products Improves Compost Quality and Effects on the Growth and Yield of Cucumber

Thieu Thi Phong Thu, Nguyen Thi Loan

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):109-119

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187036>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Co-Digestion of Petroleum Sludge and Buffalo Dung by Batch Anaerobic Digestion System

Muhammad Safar Korai, Munawar Ali Pinjaro, Zulfiqar Ali Bhatti, Eva Hertnacaahyani Herrapstanti, Miandad Jatoi, Muhammad Ali Shar, Abdulaziz Alhazaa

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):120-127

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186898>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Research Into Soil Resource Management Technologies in Context of Aggravating Exogenic Processes

Viktor Kaminskyi, Larysa Kolomiets, Volodymyr Bulgakov, Jyri Oit, Valentyna Kaminska, Ivan Shevchenko, Yevhen Ihnatiev, Adolfs Rucins

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):128-143

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186950>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Standardizing the Wastewater Composition in Order to Minimize the Eutrophication Risk for the Reservoir

Oleg Proskurnin, Nataliia Tsapko, Taras Ivashchenko, Sergey Vasilenko, Karina Belokon, Nikolay Zakharchenko, Anatolii Yurchenko, Natalia Teliura

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):144-152

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186822>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Agronomic Bio-fortification of Zinc Improves the Yield and Quality of Fodder Oat

Muhammad Asif, Muhammad Sohaib Asad, Muhammad Ehsan Safdar, Imran Khan, Naeem Akhtar, Muhammad Arish Hassan, Muhammad Moosa, Zhao Baoyi, Hesham S. Almoallim, Mohammad Javed Ansari

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):153-163

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187146>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Synthesis of New Composite Adsorbents for Removing Heavy Metals and Dyes from Aqueous Solution

Layla Abdulkareem Mokif, Zahraa Hussein Obaid, Sarab A. Juda

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):164-179

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187148>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Physiological and Biochemical Responses of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) to the Application of Re-Treated Urban Wastewater Using Wood Waste Biochar

Radouane Soujoud, Nadia Lamsaadi, Mohammed Bouhadi, Ahmed El Moukhtari, Malika Ourribane

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):180-193

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/186940>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Climate Change Impact on the Development of Droughts in Ukraine

Anatoly Polevoy, Olena Barsukova, Kateryna Husieva, Olena Zhygailo, Oksana Volvach, Nataliia Kyrnasivska, Alla Tolmachova, Taras Zhygailo, Natalia Danilova, Tetiana Kostiukievych

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):194-205

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187276>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Investigation into the Contamination of Soil With Multiple Components in the Vicinity of Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

Oleh Bereziuk, Mykhaylo Pashechko, Valeriy Savulyak, Galyna Kalda, Aleksandra Prus

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):206-213

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187568>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Enhancing Growth and Salinity Stress Tolerance of Pansy Using Hydrolyzed Gellan Gum – An Environmentally Friendly Plant Biostimulant

Piotr Salachna, Rafał Piechocki, Cezary Podsiadło, Kamila Bojko

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):214-220

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187381>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Disadvantages of Seawater Desalination at the Bousfer Station Located on the Oran Coast in Western Algeria

Scheherazede Kassouar, Sidi-Mohammed El-Amine Abi-Ayad

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):221-230

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187815>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Using Machine Learning Algorithms to Predict the Sweetness of Bananas at Different Drying Times

Sufyan A. Al-Mashhadany, Haider Ali Hasan, Mustafa A. J. Al-Sammarraie

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):231-238

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187789>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Abiotic Habitat Factors and Soil Carbon Dioxide Release Under Spontaneous Vegetation in Coal Mine Heaps

Łukasz Radosz, Damian Chmura, Artur Dyczko, Gabriela Woźniak

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):239-257

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187382>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Environmental Impact Analysis in the Cement Industry with Life Cycle Assessment Method

Callista Fabiola Candraningtyas, Nassa Arfiantinosa, Hashfi Hawali Abdul Matin, Mozakkir Azad

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):258-270

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187577>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Statistical Analysis of Urban Non-Point Source Pollution and Nitrate Contamination in the Groundwater at Thuckalay, Kanyakumari District, South India

Bhagavathi Krishnan Ramesh, Sankararajan Vanitha

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):271-291

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187788>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Efficiency of Operation a Hybrid Constructed Wetland Located in the Polesie National Park (Poland) During the Start-Up Period

Anna Myka-Raduj, Justyna Bohacz, Agnieszka Listosz, Waldemar Raduj, Krzysztof Józwiakowski

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):292-311

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187962>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Influence of the Studied Factors on the Yield, Structure and Quality Indicators of Vegetable Peas under the Conditions the South of Ukraine

Viktoria Almashova, Denys Breus, Vitalii Olifirenko

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):312-321

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187959>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Recovery of the Properties of Spent Commercial Reverse Osmosis Membrane Elements and Ways of their Reuse

Artem Tyvonenko, Tetiana Ivanova, Kateryna Halkina, Tetiana Mitchenko, Sergey Vasilyuk, Iryna Kosogina

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):322-333

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187957>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Study on Co-Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent and Empty Fruit Bunches to Improve Biogas Production in Palm Oil Mill

Julfi Restu Amelia, Lathifa Indraningtyas, Annisa Azzahra Aguzaen, Udin Hasanudin

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):334-349

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187786>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## Potential of Irrigation and Biochar on Reduction Methane Emission and Leaching Nitrate into Groundwater

Phuong Thi Lan Dinh, Viet Phan Nguyen, Hoa Thanh Nguyen, Dinh Thi Ngoc Nguyen, Anh Nguyet Pham

J. Ecol. Eng. 2024; 25(6):350-367

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/187972>

[Abstract](#)

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

[Stats](#)

## The Content of Heavy Metals and Trace Elements in Different Soils Used under the Conditions of Homestead Plots and Field Agricultural Lands of Ukraine

Serhii Razanov<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Oleksii Alieksieiev<sup>2</sup>, Olha Alieksieieva<sup>2</sup>, Oksana Vradii<sup>2</sup>, Kateryna Mazur<sup>2</sup>, Vasyl Puyu<sup>3</sup>, Antonina Piddubna<sup>2</sup>, Mykola Povochnikov<sup>4</sup>, Dmytro Postoienko<sup>5</sup>, Oleh Zelisko<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Lviv National Environmental University, Volodymyra Velykoho Str. 1, Dublyany, Lviv Region, 30831, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup> Vinnytsia National Agrarian University, Soniachna Str. 3, Vinnytsia, 21008, Ukraine

<sup>3</sup> Higher Education Institution, Podillia State University, Shevchenko Str. 12, Kamianets-Podilskyi, 32316, Ukraine

<sup>4</sup> National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Heroyiv Oborony st. 15, Kyiv, 03041, Ukraine

<sup>5</sup> National Scientific, Center Institute of Beekeeping named after P.I. Prokopovich, Zabolotnogo 19, Kyiv, 03680, Ukraine

\* Corresponding author's e-mail: razanovsergej65@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The soils of agricultural lands of Ukraine have different features of use for growing agricultural crops. In particular, under the conditions of homesteads in urbanized areas, the use of soils is observed mainly for monoculture (extensive agriculture). Usually, such soils are used primarily for the cultivation of potatoes and a small amount of vegetable crops: beets, cucumbers, cabbage, tomatoes, carrots, parsley, dill, etc. Organic fertilizers and a small amount of mineral fertilizers are used to fertilize the soils of homestead plots. Polycultures (intensive agriculture) such as: sunflower, winter rapeseed, winter wheat, corn, barley, sugar beet, peas, etc. are grown under the conditions of field crop rotation. With the creation of conditions for obtaining the maximum yield, mainly mineral fertilizers and a small amount of organic fertilizers are used. That is, these features of soil use can be reflected to one degree or another in the level of accumulation of heavy metals and trace elements in them. The content of heavy metals and trace elements in black soil typical medium loamy, sod-podzolic sandy loamy and gray medium loamy soils were investigated for their use in homestead plots and field crop rotation for growing various agricultural crops. A higher content of mercury, lead, cadmium, zinc and copper was found in black soil typical medium loamy, gray forest medium loamy and sod-podzolic sandy loamy soils under the conditions of homesteads compared to the soils of field crop rotations. The highest difference in the content of Pb, Hg, Cd, Zn, and Cu in the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotations was found in sod-podzolic sandy soil.

**Keywords:** heavy metals, black soil typical medium loamy, gray forest medium loamy, sod-podzolic sandy loamy, field soil, crop rotation, homestead plots.

### INTRODUCTION

One of the most dangerous threats to the environment are heavy metals, which are an integral part of industrial emissions (Myslyva et al., 2019). When heavy metals enter the environment, they become part of the biogeochemical cycle, join in the composition of trophic chains, and over time accumulate in the structural elements of ecosystems. Some types of heavy metals at low concentrations play an important role in the

life processes of plant cells, but in excess, these toxicants enter physiological processes that rapidly disrupt the growth and development of living organisms (Hrabak et al., 2016). For example, Zn and Cu are classified as essential microelements, but increasing of their concentration in the cell will lead to stress indicators, which will further affect the biogeochemical reactions taking place in it (Ivanova, 2014). Heavy metals occupy a particularly important place among pollutants due to their high toxicity, ability to accumulate during

movement along trophic chains, and rapid spread in the environment. There are various ways in which heavy metals enter the environment, both natural and artificial. Weathering of rocks and minerals, soil erosion, smoke from forest fires, meteorite dust, etc. are natural sources of heavy metals that enter the environment (Hrabak et al., 2016). Human economic activity, high-temperature processes with industrial emissions (ferrous and non-ferrous metallurgy, burning of cement raw materials, combustion of liquid and solid fuels), removal of heavy metals from the dumps of mines or metallurgical enterprises by water and air currents, waste water discharge, constant application of high doses of organic and mineral fertilizers, as well as pesticides that contain impurities of heavy metals are all types of anthropogenic sources of environmental pollution with heavy metals (Lisova et al., 2020). The rates of dispersion and involvement of chemical elements in the biogenic cycle have recently increased significantly. This is explained by the fact that heavy metals are highly toxic, they accumulate in separate links of the trophic chain and thus create a long-term negative effect on the vital activity of living organisms (Dydiv et al., 2023). A large number of scientific studies confirm that the further increase in the level of toxicity in the environment leads to the deterioration of the functioning of biological systems (Razanov et al., 2022).

Heavy metal contamination of soils intended for agricultural activities has recently become particularly dangerous, which subsequently leads to their accumulation in food raw materials, and later in the human body. Accumulation of heavy metals in the soil occurs quite easily, but their elimination takes tens or even hundreds: the half-life of Cu can last from 310 to 1500 years, Zn from 70 to 150 years, Pb from 40 to 5900 years, Cd from 13 to 110 years (Razanov et al., 2023a). It is known that the occurrence and localization of heavy metals in soils depend on the chemical forms in which they are in the parent rock or fall into the soil. The heavy metals that enter the soil with atmospheric dust are in an inorganic form – oxides, carbonates, silicates, sulfides, and sulfates (Razanov et al., 2022). Zn usually enters the soil in the form of poorly soluble compounds, while Cu in the form of chemically active substances capable of interacting with humic acids. According to some authors, Cu is the best accumulated in the alluvial part of the profile, while Zn in the upper transitional horizon during the transition to the rock (Tiecher et al., 2016).

Adding organic fertilizers to soil is also a source of accumulation of heavy metals in it and a rather powerful source that contributes to the accumulation of Zn, Cu, Fe, Cd, and Pb in it (Rai et al., 2019). The available literature data indicate that pH increase in soil within the range of 6–8 leads to the formation of insoluble complexes of Cu with fulvic acids, which in turn leads to their accumulation in soils to toxic levels for biological systems (Zhao et al., 2015). The migration ability of Cu, Ni and Co increases with acidification of the soil environment. A decrease of the pH of the soil environment leads to an increase in the mobility of Zn from 3.8 to 5.4 times, and Cu from 2 to 3 times (Zheng et al., 2020).

It has been found that the level of toxicity of soils contaminated with heavy metals is determined not only by the gross content, but also by mobile forms that participate in biogenic migration (Khan et al., 2019). The appearance of toxicants often occurs along the system: soil-plant-human, soil-plant-animal-human, soil-water-human, and soil-air-human (Emam et al., 2021, Razanov et al., 2023b).

Every year, the rate of development of technogenic activity of the population increases, which in turn leads to an increase in the entry of various harmful substances, including heavy metals, into the environment. Heavy metals, being in an exchangeable form, move along trophic chains from the soil to vegetation, reducing the quality characteristics and safety of food raw materials (Zheng et al., 2020). Mining, metallurgical, machine-building, chemical, transport, agro-industrial, housing, etc. complexes are the most prominent sources of environmental pollution with heavy metals (Belon et al., 2014). Today, motor vehicles, agricultural production and industrial waste are rapidly growing types of environmental pollution, including soil (Luo et al., 2019).

Among the sources of environmental pollution with heavy metals, much attention is paid to motor vehicles, the number of which has increased rapidly in recent years; this has significantly increased the power of technogenic impact on the environment (Zhao et al., 2015). Along with this, the number of facilities servicing it, including motor vehicle enterprises, bases of road construction equipment, garages, parking lots, gas stations and maintenance stations, is growing, which are also a source of environmental pollution with various toxic substances (Nicholson et al., 2016). The majority of emissions from motor

vehicles are concentrated on the soil surface, from where they are included in trophic chains in the form of mobile forms, accumulating in phytomass (Yu et al., 2018).

Mineral fertilizers constitute the sources of heavy metals entering the environment specifically in agricultural production, especially in crop production (Ni et al., 2018). The largest number of them is contained in phosphoric fertilizers, and relatively less – in potash and nitrogen fertilizers (Belon et al., 2014). It was found that about 908 kg of Pb and 214 kg of Cd enter the soil annually during the cultivation of winter rapeseed and sunflower on a total area of 405,370 hectares with the application of mineral fertilizers (Peng et al., 2020). In Ukraine, more than 4.5 million hectares of soil are contaminated with man-made waste, which includes: heavy metals, petroleum products, radionuclides, etc. The negative consequences of long-term intensive anthropogenic influences on soils are the development of degradation processes in them (Belon et al., 2014). Anthropogenic soil degradation involves its secondary changes caused by human activity and accompanied by partial and/or complete loss of soil fertility; it is a consequence of its destruction. However, if the loss of soil fertility is partial, it can be restored. However, the process of soil destruction is an irreversible phenomenon that leads to the loss of stability and the death of the landscape (Wan et al., 2020). A component of anthropogenic soil degradation is its biological degradation as a result of its chemical pollution. Biological degradation of soils contaminated with heavy metals is a complex process of persistent changes in the biological properties of the soil, which leads to a decrease and loss of its productivity. Therefore, the use of land resources in technogenesis zones requires a detailed assessment of the condition of the soil cover, implementation of prevention measures, elimination of its degradation phenomena associated with pollution, as well as restoration of soil productivity (Wan et al., 2020).

Also, pollution with heavy metals affects the mycorrhiza of the soil. It was found that microbiological indicators are the first to respond to pollution, but their response correlates poorly with the content of heavy metals in the soil, compared to the response of biochemical indicators. There are different types of heavy metals entering the environment. For example, Pb enters environmental objects mainly in the

form of gases, aerosols and industrial wastewater (Nziguheba et al., 2018). According to its chemical properties, it belongs to weak migrants, so it is concentrated in large particles in the soil. Due to the intensive entry into the environment and the high toxicity of Pb, it is classified as a highly dangerous toxicant (Loganathan et al., 2017). Also, highly toxic heavy metals include Cd, which, compared to Pb, has a high migration capacity. Cd has a low intensity of removal from living organisms. It accumulates in blood, in particular in erythrocytes, liver and kidneys. Cd is highly toxic to both animal and plant organisms. The content of Cd in the soil ranges from 0.01 to 1 mg/kg, from where it migrates into vegetation by assimilation (Lugon-Moulin et al., 2016).

According to the Institute for Soil Science and Agrochemistry Research named after O.N. Sokolovsky, about 20% of agricultural land in Ukraine is contaminated with heavy metals. Excessive entry of heavy metals into the environment causes significant problems in agricultural production and animal husbandry, especially under the conditions of industrial and metallurgical enterprises. After all, it is known that the soil has great sanitary and hygienic importance for the life of both the animal and plant world (Yu et al., 2018). Negative natural and anthropogenic processes have a permanent impact on agricultural lands in the form of deterioration of their productivity. Gradually, pollutants accumulate in the soil, causing its physical destruction. Today, the issue of soil contamination with heavy metals in the territories adjacent to large cities is an urgent issue. Currently, the anthropogenic impact on soils is excessive and long-term, and the pursuit of productivity leads to disruption of the natural balance and deterioration of the ecological situation, which, in turn, leads to a significant decrease in the productivity of agroecosystems. Private auxiliary farms of the population produce more than 60% of crop production, but at the same time there is almost no information about the agro-ecological condition of homestead plots and the level of their contamination with toxicants. That prevents providing a comprehensive assessment of food contamination, in particular, vegetables and fruits grown and harvested on these soils (Mu et al., 2023). The causes of degradation processes occurring in soils are excessive application of organic and mineral fertilizers and uncontrolled use of pesticides, which, in turn, leads to their contamination with nitrates and heavy metals

(Romheld et al., 2021). The peculiarity of suburban settlements is that, being close to sales markets, they are producers of a significant amount of agricultural products. The question of contamination of the lands of private farms remains outside the attention of researchers, since in Ukraine control is carried out only on agricultural lands that are used by enterprises of various forms of ownership. Cultivation of agricultural crops in private farms is carried out without sufficient scientific knowledge and in the absence of environmentally safe technologies (Riaz et al., 2021).

The purpose of the research was to study the level of soil contamination with heavy metals and trace elements due to their use in field crop rotation and homestead plots for growing agricultural crops.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was conducted on the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation areas under the conditions of forest steppe and Polissia of Ukraine.

Under the conditions of forest steppe of Ukraine, it was conducted on the territory of Tyvriv community of Vinnytsia region (GPS coordinates: 49.01567 north latitude, 28.50969 east longitude, gray forest medium loamy soil), Pohrebyshche community of Vinnytsia region (GPS coordinates: 49.2913 north latitude, 29.1624 east longitude, black soil typical medium loamy soil) and Korosten district of Zhytomyr region (GPS coordinates: 51.1928 north latitude, 28.4829 east longitude, sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil).

Under the conditions of homestead plots, monoculture, mainly vegetables, in particular potatoes, was grown on the soils during the last several decades. The soil was fertilized with organic fertilizer (humus) from animal husbandry waste (Honcharuk, 2020). Under the conditions of field territories, the soil was used for polyculture, in particular, the cultivation of grain, fodder and industrial crops (winter wheat, spring wheat, spring barley, winter barley, soybeans, peas, sunflower, corn, winter rapeseed, sugar beet, oats, pink clover). The main soil fertilization was the application of mineral fertilizers.

The selection of soils to study the content of heavy metals (Hg, Pb, Cd) and trace elements (Zn, Cu) was carried out using the envelope method,

the essence of which consists in the selection of four soil samples per square and one in the center. Soil sampling points per square were located at a distance of no closer than 100 m to the edge of the field territory. The selection of soils was carried out at a depth of 25–28 cm. The content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu in the soil was determined using an atomic absorption method.

The MPC indicator (maximum permissible concentration) is regulated by the state standard of Ukraine. In particular, for mercury – DSTU 16772:2005, mobile forms of lead – DSTU 4770.3:2007, for cadmium – DSTU 4770.3:2007, for zinc DSTU – 4770.2:2007 and for copper – DSTU 4770.6:2007 (DSTU 2009). The hazard ratio of heavy metals and microelements was determined by the formula:

$$H \text{ rat.} = \frac{ACP}{MPC} \quad (1)$$

where: *H rat* – pollutant hazard ratio, *ACP* – actual pollutant concentration in the soil, *MPC* – maximum permissible concentration of heavy metals and trace elements according to DSTU. The results of the study were statistically analyzed using ANOVA. The data in the tables are presented as  $M \pm m$  (average value and error),  $n = 4$  (the number of studied areas under the conditions of one type of soil).

The hazard ratio of heavy metals and trace elements was determined by the formula: Hazard ration = content in soil/MPC, the results of the study were statistically analyzed using ANOVA. The data in the tables are presented as  $M \pm m$  (mean and error).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the studies in Table 1 showed that the black soil typical medium loamy of homestead plots had a higher content of Pb by 11.6%, Cd by 12.3%, Hg by 44.3%, and Cu and Zn by 9.8% and 10.6 %, respectively, compared to the same type of soil of the field crop rotation. Characterizing the hazard ratio of heavy metals and trace elements, it is necessary to note also the increase of this indicator on the soil of homestead plots compared to field crop rotation. Thus, a hazard ratio of accumulation of Pb by 37.5%, Cd by 30%, Hg by 17.6%, and Zn by 5.2% was observed in black soil typical medium loamy of homestead

**Table 1.** Indicators of the content of heavy metals and trace elements in black soil typical medium loamy, ( $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 4$ )

| Indicators | Soils of homestead plots |      |              | Field crop rotation soils |      |              |
|------------|--------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------|------|--------------|
|            | Content in the soil      | MPC  | Hazard ratio | Content in the soil       | MPC  | Hazard ratio |
| Pb, mg/kg  | 0.693 ± 0.032            | 6.0  | 0.11         | 0.621 ± 0.023             | 6.0  | 0.08         |
| Cd, mg/kg  | 0.091 ± 0.0014           | 0.7  | 0.13         | 0.081 ± 0.0016            | 0.7  | 0.10         |
| Hg, mg/kg  | 0.140 ± 0.022            | 2.1  | 0.06         | 0.097 ± 0.0021            | 2.1  | 0.04         |
| Cu, mg/kg  | 0.067 ± 0.0017           | 3.0  | 0.02         | 0.051 ± 0.0011            | 3.0  | 0.017        |
| Zn, mg/kg  | 1.451 ± 0.124            | 23.0 | 0.006        | 1.312 ± 0.121             | 23.0 | 0.057        |

plots, compared to the soil of field crop rotation. The hazard ratio of Pb, Cd, Hg, Cu, and Zn in black soil typical medium loamy both in homestead plots and under the field crop rotation conditions it was lower than the limit value of 1.0.

The content of heavy metals and trace elements in black soil typical medium loamy both under the conditions of homestead plots and field crop rotation did not exceed the maximum permissible indicators (MPC), which were the following: Pb – 6.0 mg/kg, Cd – 0.7 mg/kg, Hg – 2.1 mg/kg, Cu – 3.0 mg/kg and Zn – 23 mg/kg. In particular, the content of Pb was 8.6 times lower than the MPC in the soils of homestead plots, while it was 9.6 times lower in the soils of field crop rotation. The content of Cd was 7.7 times lower than the MPC in the soils of homestead plots, while it was 8.6 times lower in the soils of field crop rotation. The content of Hg was 15.0 times lower than the MPC in the soils of homestead plots, while it was 21.6 times lower in the soils of field crop rotation. The content of Cu was 44.0 times lower than the MPC in the soils of homestead plots, while it was 58.8 times lower in the soils of field crop rotation. The content of Zn was 15.8 times lower than the MPC in the soils of homestead plots, while it was 17.5 times lower in the soils of field crop rotation. In the gray forest medium loamy soil of homestead plots (Table 2), there was also a higher content of Pb by 7.7%, Cd

by 12.9%, Hg by 29.0%, Cu by 24.5% and Zn by 14.1% compared to the soils of field crop rotation.

As a result of the analysis of the hazard ratio of heavy metals and trace elements in gray forest medium loamy soil, it was found that this indicator was higher in homestead plots compared to field crop rotation for Pb by 22.2%, Cd by 14.2%, Hg by 75.0%, Cu by 23.5% and Zn by 13.6%.

According to the research results, it was found that the content of Pb in the gray forest medium loamy soil of homestead plots was 8.4 times lower compared to the MPC, while it was 9.2 times lower in the soil of field crop rotation. The content of Cd was 11.4 times lower compared to the MPC in the soil of homestead plots, while it was 12.9 times lower in the soil of field crop rotation. The content of Hg was 13.1 times lower compared to the MPC in the soil of homestead plots, while it was 16.9 times lower in the soil of field crop rotation. The content of Cu was 23.5 times lower compared to the MPC in the soil of homestead plots, while it was 29.4 times lower in the soil of field crop rotation. The content of Zn was 13.6 times lower compared to the MPC in the soil of homestead plots, while it was 22.7 times lower in the soil of field crop rotation.

The results of research (Table 3) indicate that the content of Pb, Cd, Hg heavy metals as well as trace elements Cu and Zn in the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation was lesser than the MPC. Thus, the concentration of heavy

**Table 2.** Indicators of the content of heavy metals and trace elements in gray forest medium loamy soil, ( $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 4$ )

| Indicators | Soils of homestead plots |      |              | Field crop rotation soils |      |              |
|------------|--------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------|------|--------------|
|            | Content in the soil      | MPC  | Hazard ratio | Content in the soil       | MPC  | Hazard ratio |
| Pb, mg/kg  | 0.713 ± 0.016            | 6.0  | 0.11         | 0.662 ± 0.0014            | 6.0  | 0.09         |
| Cd, mg/kg  | 0.061 ± 0.0014           | 0.7  | 0.08         | 0.054 ± 0.017             | 0.7  | 0.07         |
| Hg, mg/kg  | 0.160 ± 0.017            | 2.1  | 0.07         | 0.124 ± 0.034             | 2.1  | 0.04         |
| Cu, mg/kg  | 0.127 ± 0.024            | 3.0  | 0.042        | 0.102 ± 0.009             | 3.0  | 0.034        |
| Zn, mg/kg  | 1.155 ± 0.22             | 23.0 | 0.050        | 1.012 ± 0.011             | 23.0 | 0.044        |

**Table 3.** Indicators of the content of heavy metals and trace elements in sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil, ( $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 4$ )

| Indicators | Soils of homestead plots |      |              | Field crop rotation soils |      |              |
|------------|--------------------------|------|--------------|---------------------------|------|--------------|
|            | Content in the soil      | MPC  | Hazard ratio | Content in the soil       | MPC  | Hazard ratio |
| Pb, mg/kg  | 0.624 ± 0.024            | 6.0  | 0.10         | 0.534 ± 0.018             | 6.0  | 0.09         |
| Cd, mg/kg  | 0.102 ± 0.010            | 0,7. | 0.14         | 0.087 ± 0.013             | 0.7  | 0.12         |
| Hg, mg/kg  | 0.110 ± 0.024            | 2.1  | 0.05         | 0.062 ± 0.0023            | 2.1  | 0.03         |
| Cu, mg/kg  | 0.080 ± 0.0031           | 3.0  | 0.026        | 0.054 ± 0.0026            | 3    | 0.018        |
| Zn, mg/kg  | 2,798 ± 0,21             | 23.0 | 0.12         | 2.023 ± 0.10              | 23.0 | 0.08         |

metals in homestead plots soils was 9.6 times lower in Pb, 6.8 times lower in Cd, 19.0 times lower in Hg, 35.5 times lower in Cu and 8.2 times lower in Zn, compared to the MPC. In the soils of field crop rotation it was 11.2 times lower in Pb, 8.0 times lower in Cd, by 33.8 times lower in Hg, 35.5 times lower in Cu and 11.3 times lower in Zn, compared to the MPC. It was found the higher content of Pb by 16.8%, of Cd by 17.4%, of Hg by 77.4%, of Cu by 48.1% and of Zn by 38.3% in the soils of homestead plots compared to the soils of field crop rotation. The soils of homestead plots were characterized by a higher hazard ratio of Pb by 11.1%, of Cd by 16.6%, of Hg by 66.6%, of Cu by 44.4% and of Zn by 50.0%, compared to the soil of the field crop rotation. The hazard ratio of heavy metals and trace elements in black soil typical medium loamy, gray forest medium loamy, sod-podzolic sandy loamy soils, both under the conditions of homestead plots and under the conditions of field crop rotation, did not exceed the maximum allowable indicator of 1.0. According to the results of the study, it was determined (Table 4) that the highest difference in the content of Pb, Cd, Hg and Cu between the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation was found on sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil. Thus, the difference in the content of Pb, Cd, Hg, Cu and Zn in the sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil of homestead plots and field crop rotation was higher, compared

to the black soil typical medium loamy by 25.0%, by 50.0%, by 11.6%, by 62.6% and by 7.5 times, respectively. It was higher by 214.0%, by 33.3%, by 0.4% and by 5.4 times, respectively, compared to the gray forest medium loamy soil. It is known that a high amount of heavy metals can accumulate in soil. As a rule, they accumulate the most in the arable layer (Belon et al., 2014; Loganathan et al., 2017). The highest amount of heavy metals enters agricultural soils with precipitation and with the introduction of mineral as well as organic fertilizers (Luo et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2020). It has been determined that most of the heavy metals are concentrated in the soil, and relatively less of them are removed by plants and their products (Zheng et al., 2020; Hussain et al., 2021). There is a constant migration of heavy metals in soils, which changes their level of pollution. The intensity of migration of heavy metals in the soil environment depends on the content of humus, trace elements, mineral composition, acidity, soil properties and other factors (Lugon-Moulin et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020). The task of the conducted research included the study of heavy metal contamination of homestead plots soils, the characteristics of which were the cultivation of mainly the same vegetable crops with organic fertilizers during the last 100 years, and field crop rotation soils, which were used during the same period of time for the cultivation of cereals, oil and

**Table 4.** Characteristics of the difference in the content of heavy metals in the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation, ( $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 4$ )

| Indicators | The difference of heavy metals between the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation |                          |                               |
|------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
|            | Black soil typical medium loamy   | Gray forest medium loamy | Sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil |
| Pb, mg/kg  | 0.072 ± 0.0013**  | 0.051 ± 0.0017***        | 0.090 ± 0.0020                |
| Cd, mg/kg  | 0.010 ± 0.0012***   | 0.007 ± 0.0012***        | 0.015 ± 0.0017                |
| Hg, mg/kg  | 0.043 ± 0.0023  | 0.036 ± 0.0016**         | 0.048 ± 0.0014                |
| Cu, mg/kg  | 0.016 ± 0.0010**  | 0.025 ± 0.0016           | 0.026 ± 0.0018                |
| Zn, mg/kg  | 0.103 ± 0.021***  | 0.143 ± 0.0011***        | 0.775 ± 0.021                 |

industrial crops under intensive technologies using mainly mineral fertilizers (Abou, 2019).

The studies of the migration of heavy metals in the soil-plant system have determined that it is possible to change their amount in the soil environment and that these processes are significantly influenced by the organic component of soils. When heavy metals enter the soil, they combine with its organic component, which contributes to the reduction of removal of these toxicants by vegetation (Abu Khatita, 2020). The obtained research results showed that the highest difference in the content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu under the conditions of homestead plots and field crop rotation was found in the sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil, which was characterized by a low content of organic matter. The difference was comparatively lower in the gray forest medium loamy and black soil typical medium loamy soils, which had a higher content of organic matter, confirming previous research results (Abuzaid et al., 2021). A number of scientists, both in Ukraine and in European countries, devoted themselves to the study of heavy metal contamination of the soils of urban areas. These authors note the high level of accumulation of heavy metals in the soils of agro-residential areas. This phenomenon depends on the level of technogenic load of industry and the intensity of use of soil fertilization with organic and mineral fertilizers under the conditions of homestead plots (Binggan and Linsheng, 2010; Karim et al., 2014). Under the conditions of Ukraine, in the Zhytomyr region in particular, the content of lead up to 50 mg/kg, cadmium up to 0.60 mg/kg and zinc up to 200 mg/kg was found in the soils of urbanized areas, in particular in agricultural zones. According to the conducted research, it was established that the content of mobile forms of lead, cadmium, zinc and copper in the soils of homestead plots of agro-residential urbanized territories is higher compared to the soils of field crop rotation.

## CONCLUSIONS

It was determined that under the conditions of homestead plots, a higher content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu was observed in the black soil typical medium loamy by 44.3%, by 11.6%, by 12.3%, by 10.6% and by 9.8%, respectively, compared to soils of field crop rotation. The content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu in the gray forest medium loamy

soil was higher by 29%, by 7.7%, by 12.9%, by 14.1% and by 24.5%, respectively, in the the conditions of homestead plots, compared to soils of field crop rotation. The content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu in the sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil was higher by 77.4%, by 16.8%, by 17.4%, by 38.3% and by 38.3%, respectively, compared to the soils of field crop rotation.

The highest difference in the content of Hg, Pb, Cd, Zn and Cu in the soils of homestead plots and field crop rotation was found on sod-podzolic sandy loamy soil. The hazard ratio of Pb, Cd, Hg, Zn, and Cu in all three types of soil in both homestead plots and field crop rotation conditions did not exceed the limit of 1.0.

## REFERENCES

1. Abou El-Anwar E.A. 2021. Mineralogical and geochemical studies on soils and Nile bottom sediments of Luxor–Aswan area, South Egypt. *Bul.l Natl. Res. Cent.* 45(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-021-00573-3>
2. Abou El-Anwar. 2019. Assessment of heavy metal pollution in soil and bottom sediment of upper Egypt: Comparison study. *Bul.l Natl. Res. Cent.*, 43(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0233-4>.
3. Abu Khatita, Koch R., Bamousa A.O. 2020. Sources identification and contamination assessment of heavy metals in soil of Middle Nile Delta, Egypt. *Journal. of Taibah University for Science*, 14(1), 750–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16583655.2020.1771833>
4. Abuzaid A.S., Jahin H.S., Asaad A.A., Fadl M.E., Abdelrahman M.A.E., Scopa A. 2021. Accumulation of potentially toxic metals in Egyptian alluvial soils, berseem clover (*Trifolium alexandrinum* L.), and groundwater after long-term wastewater irrigation. *Agric*, 11(8), 713.
5. Belon E., Boisson M., Deportes I.Z., Eglin T.K., Feix I., Bispo A.O., Galsomies L., Leblond S., Guellier C.R. 2014. An inventory of trace elements inputs to French agricultural soils. *Science of The Total Environment Journal*, 439, 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.09.011>
6. Binggan W., Linsheng Ya. 2010. A review of heavy metal contaminations in urban soils, urban road dusts and agricultural soils from China. *Microchemical Journal*, 94(2), 99–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2009.09.014>
7. DSTU 4770.1:2007 - DSTU 4770.9:2007. Soil quality. Determination of the content of mobile manganese compounds (zinc, cadmium, iron, cobalt, copper, nickel, chromium, lead) in the soil in a buffered ammonium-acetate extract

- with pH 4.8 by the method of atomic absorption spectrophotometry. [Effective from 01.01.2009]. K.: Derzhspozhivstandard of Ukraine 2009. 117 (in Ukrainian).
8. Dydiv A., Piddubna A., Gucol G., Vradii O., Zhyl-ishchych Y., Titarenko O., Razanova A., Odnosum H., Postoienko D., Kerek S. 2023. Accumulation of lead and cadmium by vegetables at different levels of gray forest soil moistening in the conditions of the Right Bank Forest Steppe of Ukraine. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 24(10), 198–204. <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/170291>
  9. Emam W.W.M., Soliman K.M. 2021. Geospatial analysis, source identification, contamination status, ecological and health risk assessment of heavy metals in agricultural soils from Qallin city, Egypt. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 11:1264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-021-02097-8>
  10. Honcharuk I. 2020. Use of wastes of the livestock industry as a possibility for increasing the efficiency of aic and replenishing the energy balance. *Visegrad Journal on Bioeconomy and Sustainable Development*, 9(1), 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.2478/vjbsd-2020-0002>
  11. Hrabak N.H., Topiha I.N., Davydenko V.M., Shevel I.V. 2016. Basics of agriculture and land protection: a study guide. VD Professional, Kyiv (in Ukrainian).
  12. Huang B., Yuan Z., Li D., Zheng M., Nie X., Liao Y. 2020. Effects of soil particle size on the adsorption, distribution, and migration behaviors of heavy metal(oids) in soil: A review. *Environmental Science Proceedings and Impacts*, 22, 1596. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00189A>.
  13. Hussain B., Ashraf M.N., Rahman S.U., Abbas A., Lia J., Farooq M. 2021. Cadmium stress in paddy fields: Effects of soil conditions and remediation strategies. *Science Total Environment Journal*, 754, 142188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142188>
  14. Ivanova O.S. 2014. Local heavy metal contamination of residential areas of Brusyliv district. Collection of scientific works of Kharkiv National Pedagogical University named after H.S. *Frying pans Series «Biology and valeology»*, 12, 135–140 (in Ukrainian).
  15. Zahida K., Bilal Q., Majid, M., Salman Q. 2014. Heavy metal content in urban soils as an indicator of anthropogenic and natural influences on landscape of Karachi – A multivariate spatio-temporal analysis. *Ecological Indicators*, 42, 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.07.020>
  16. Khan S., Naushad M., Lima E.C., Zhang S., Shaheen S.M., Rinklebe J. 2019. Global soil pollution by toxic elements: Current status and future perspectives on the risk assessment and remediation strategies – A review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 417, 126039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.126039>
  17. Lisova A.P., Kravchenko S.M. 2020. Fertilizer application system: textbook, Higher School, Kyiv (in Ukrainian).
  18. Liu N., Huang X., Sun L., Li S., Chen Y., Cao X., Wang W., Dai J., Rinnan R. 2020. Screening stably low cadmium and moderately high micronutrients wheat cultivars under three different agricultural environments of China. *Chemosphere*, 241, 125065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125065>
  19. Loganathan P., Hedley M.J., Gregg P.E.H., Currie L.D. 2017. Effect of phosphate fertiliser type on the accumulation and plant availability of cadmium in grassland soils. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems*, 46, 169–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00420551>
  20. Lugon-Moulin N., Ryan L., Donini P., Rossi L. 2016. Cadmium content of phosphate fertilizers used for tobacco production. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 26, 151–155. <https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2006010>
  21. Luo L., Ma Y., Zhang S., Wei D., Zhu Y. 2019. An inventory of trace element inputs to agricultural soils in China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90, 2524–2530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.01.011>
  22. Mu D., Zheng S., Lin D., Xu Y., Dong R., Pei P., Sun Y. 2023. Derivation and validation of soil cadmium thresholds for the safe farmland production of vegetables in high geological background area. *Science Total Environment Journal*, 873, 162171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162171>
  23. Myslyva T.M., Onoprienko L.O. 2019. Heavy metals in urboedaphatopes and phytocenoses and the territory of Zhytomyr. *KHNAU Bulletin*, 2, 134–142 (in Ukrainian).
  24. Myslyva T.M., Trembitskyi V.A., Dovbysh L.L. 2016. Heavy metals in forest-agrarian landscapes of Zhytomyr Polissia. *Agrochemistry and soil science, special edition*, 260–263 (in Ukrainian).
  25. Ni R.X., Ma Y.B. 2018. Current inventory and changes of the input/output balance of trace elements in farmland across China. *PLoS ONE*, 13, 0199460. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199460>
  26. Nicholson F.A., Smith S.R., Alloway B.J., Carlton-Smith C., Chambers B.J. 2016. An inventory of heavy metals inputs to agricultural soils in England and Wales. *Science of The Total Environment Journal*, 311, 205–219. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(03\)00139-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00139-6)
  27. Nziguheba G., Smolders E. 2018. Inputs of trace elements in agricultural soils via phosphate fertilizers in European countries. *Science Total Environment Journal*, 390, 53–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.031>

28. Peng M., Zhao C., Ma H., Yang Z., Yang K., Liu F., Li K., Yang Z., Tang S.Q., Guo F. 2020. Heavy metal and Pb isotopic compositions of soil and maize from a major agricultural area in Northeast China: Contamination assessment and source apportionment. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 208, 106403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2019.106403>
29. Rai P.K., Lee S.S., Zhang M., Tsang Y.F., Kim K.H. 2019. Heavy metals in food crops: Health risks, fate, mechanisms, and management. *Environment International Journal*, 125, 365–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.067>
30. Rasanov S.F., Tkachuk O.P. 2017. Intensive chemistry of earth – as a precondition for the pollution of grain production by high-speed metals. *Technology of production and processing of livestock products*, 1(134), 70–75.
31. Rasanov S., Husak O., Hnativ P., Dydiv A., Bakhmat O., Stepanchenko V., Pryshchepa A., Shcherbachuk V., Mazurak O. 2023a. The influence of the gray forest soil moisture level on the accumulation of Pb, Cd, Zn, Cu in spring barley grain. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 24(7). 285–292. <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/164747>
32. Rasanov S., Melnyk V., Symochko L., Dydiv A., Vradii O., Balkovskyi V., Khirivskyi P., Panas N., Lysak H., Koruniak O. 2022. Agroecological assessment of gray forest soils under intensive horticulture. *International Journal of Ecosystems and Ecology Science (IJEES)*, 12(4), 459–464. <https://doi.org/10.31407/ijeess12.4>
33. Rasanov S., Piddubna A., Gucol G., Symochko L., Kovalova S., Bakhmat, M., Bakhmat O. 2022. Estimation of heavy metals accumulation by vegetables in agroecosystems as one of the main aspects in food security. *International Journal of Ecosystems and Ecology Science (IJEES)*, 12(3), 159–164. <https://doi.org/10.31407/ijeess12.320>
34. Rasanov S., Tkachuk O., Lebedieva N., Shkatula Yu., Polishchuk M., Melnyk M., Krektun B., Razanova A. 2023b. Phytoremediation of heavy metal contamination by perennial legumes. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207233.2023.2296764>
35. Riaz M., Kamran M., Rizwan M., Ali S., Parveen A., Malik Z., Wang X. 2021. Cadmium uptake and translocation: Synergetic roles of selenium and silicon in Cd detoxification for the production of low Cd crops: A critical review. *Chemosphere*, 273, 129690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129690>
36. Romheld V. 2021. The role of phytosiderophores in acquisition of iron and other micronutrients in graminaceous species: An ecological approach. *Plant Soil*, 130, 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011867>
37. Tiecher T.L., Ceretta C.A., Tiecher T., Ferreira P.A., Nicoloso F.T., Soriani H.H., Rossato L.V., Mimmo T., Cesco S., Lourenzi C.R.. 2016. Effects of zinc addition to a copper-contaminated vineyard soil on sorption of Zn by soil and plant physiological responses. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety Journal*, 129, 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2016.03.016>
38. Wan Y., Huang Q., Wang Q., Ma Y., Su D., Li H. 2020. Ecological risk of copper and zinc and their different bioavailability change in soil-rice system as affected by biowaste application. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety Journal*, 192, 110301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110301>
39. Wan Y., Huang Q., Wang Q., Yu Y., Su D., Qiao Y., Li H. 2020. Accumulation and bioavailability of heavy metals in an acid soil and their uptake by paddy rice under continuous application of chicken and swine manure. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 384, 121293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121293>
40. Yu Y., Zhu L., Guo T., Huang Q., Wang Q., Li H. 2018. Risk assessment of cadmium and arsenic in phosphate fertilizer. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science*, 37, 1326–1331.
41. Zhao F.J., Ma Y., Zhu Y.G., Tang Z., McGrath S.P. 2015. Soil contamination in China: Current status and mitigation strategies. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49, 750–759. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es5047099>
42. Zheng S., Wang Q., Yuan Y., Sun W. 2020. Human health risk assessment of heavy metals in soil and food crops in the Pearl River Delta urban agglomeration of China. *Food Chemistry*, 316, 126213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126213>